arXiv:gr-qc/0703011v2 7 Jun 2007

Exact Solutions in Five-Dimensional Axi-dilaton Gravity with Euler-Poincaré Term

A. N. Aliev*
Feza Gürsey Institute, 34684 Çengelköy, İstanbul, Turkey

H. Cebeci†
Department of Physics, Anadolu University, 26470 Eskişehir, Turkey

T. Dereli‡
Department of Physics, Koç University, 34450 Sarıyer-İstanbul, Turkey

Abstract

We examine the effective field equations that are obtained from the axi-dilaton gravity action with a second order Euler-Poincaré term and a cosmological constant in all higher dimensions. We solve these equations for five-dimensional spacetimes possessing homogeneity and isotropy in their three-dimensional subspaces. For a number of interesting special cases we show that the solutions fall into two main classes: The first class consists of time-dependent solutions with spherical or hyperboloidal symmetry which require certain fine-tuning relations between the coupling constants of the model and the cosmological constant. Solutions in the second class are locally static and prove the validity of Birkhoff's staticity theorem in the axi-dilaton gravity. We also give a class of static solutions, among them the well-known charged black hole solutions with a cosmological constant in which the usual electric charge is superseded by an axion charge.

---

*E.mail: aliev@gursey.gov.tr
†E.mail: hcebeci@anadolu.edu.tr
‡E.mail: tdereli@ku.edu.tr

1 Introduction

Developments in string/M-theory have led to a revolutionary idea that our universe is a slice, a *braneworld*, in a higher-dimensional spacetime [1]-[3]. The most striking phenomenological consequences of the braneworld idea have been studied in Large-Extra-Dimension scenarios [4, 5]. These scenarios open up the possibility of an elegant geometric resolution of the large hierarchy between the electroweak scale and the fundamental scale of quantum gravity as well as of directly probing TeV-scale mini black holes in high-energy collisions [6]. They also support the properties of four-dimensional Einstein gravity in low energy limit that is verified by examining the effective gravitational field equations in the braneworld. The gravitational field equations on a Z_2-symmetric 3-brane in a five-dimensional bulk spacetime were studied in [7, 8]. Some exact solutions to these equations that describe black holes localized on the 3-brane were found in [9, 10].

Cosmological dynamics of the braneworld universe in general exhibits significant deviation from standard Friedmann-Robertson-Walker picture. However, it appears very similar to the latter at late times. This has been examined within two basic approaches: In the first approach our universe is supposed to be a fixed brane in a time-evolving bulk spacetime [11], while in the second one it is a moving brane in a static bulk spacetime [12]. It is remarkable that the most general static AdS solution in five dimensions that induces the FRW cosmology on the brane is the Schwarzschild-AdS solution with constant 3-space curvature [13, 14].

The results described above have also provided a strong impetus for examining the braneworld idea in string-generated gravity models. Of these, the models with quadratic-curvature correction term (Gauss-Bonnet combination) are of particular interest [15]. The Gauss-Bonnet term is the leading order correction to the ordinary Einstein-Hilbert action in the low-energy limit of string theory. It is also sometimes called a second order Euler-Poincaré density term. The static solutions for black holes with asymptotic AdS behavior in these models were found in [16]-[18](for a static wormhole solution see recent works in Refs. [19, 20]), while cosmological dynamics on the 3-brane has been studied in [21]-[23] (see also a review paper [24] and references therein). The effective gravitational field equations on the 3-brane imprinted by a five-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action with a second order Euler-Poincaré density term were obtained in [25, 26].

In light of all this, it becomes clear that further study of exact solutions in string-generated gravity models is of particular interest. Here we shall study the exact static solutions in five-dimensional axi-dilaton gravity with a second-order Euler-Poincaré term, focusing on the bulk theory. As is known, the axi-dilaton gravity models naturally arise in the low-energy limit of superstring theories. The corresponding effective actions are determined by an expansion in a small string tension parameter α' and, in addition to the usual Einstein-Hilbert term with a cosmological constant, they also include a dilaton 0-form, a Yang-Mills 1-form, an axion 3-form and second and higher-order Euler-Poincaré densities [27]-[30].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, using the formalism of differential forms, we present the action of our axi-dilaton gravity model in the Einstein frame and in all higher dimensions. To simplify the model we do not include the Yang-Mills coupling in the action. The effective field equations are obtained by varying this action with respect to all dynamical variables of the model. In order to avoid the appearance of propagating torsion in the variational procedure [27], we constrain the space of the dynamical variables to be torsion free. In Sec. III we restrict ourselves to five-dimensional spacetimes and solve the effective field equations for the spacetimes possessing homogeneity and isotropy in their three-dimensional subspaces. We examine a number of interesting special cases and show that the solutions fall into two classes: The first class consists of time-dependent solutions with spherical or hyperboloidal symmetry which require certain fine-tuning relations between the coupling constants of the model and the cosmological constant. Solutions in the second class are locally static and prove the validity of Birkhoff's staticity theorem in the axi-dilaton gravity. Finally, in Sec. IV we give some explicit static solutions in five dimensions, among them the well-known black hole solution in which the usual electric charge is superseded by an axion charge.

2 Action

We consider a d-dimensional manifold M endowed with a spacetime metric $\boldsymbol{g}$ and examine the action of axi-dilaton gravity in this spacetime. In [27], it has been shown that if all fields in the action are taken to be unconstrained, then the corresponding variational procedure inevitably yields propagating torsion. To circumvent it, we constrain the connection 1-forms to be torsion-free. In addition to the Einstein-Hilbert term with a cosmological constant, the action includes a dilaton 0-form field ϕ, an axion 3-form field H and a second-order Euler-Poincaré term. In the spirit of a heterotic string model, we also impose an anomaly-freedom constraint or a Bianchi condition on the axion field. The d-dimensional action has the form

$$I[e,\omega,\phi,H] = \int_M \mathcal{L}, \tag{2.1}$$

where in the Einstein frame the Lagrangian density d-form is given by [27]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\, R^{ab} \wedge *(e_a \wedge e_b) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\, d\phi \wedge *d\phi + \frac{\beta}{2}\, e^{-\beta_2 \phi} H \wedge *H + \Lambda\, e^{-\beta_1 \phi} *1 \\ & + \frac{\eta}{4}\, R^{ab} \wedge R^{cd} \wedge *(e_a \wedge e_b \wedge e_c \wedge e_d) \\ & + (de^a + \omega^a{}_b \wedge e^b) \wedge \lambda_a + (dH - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\, R_{ab} \wedge R^{ab}) \wedge \mu\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Here the basic gravitational field variables are the co-frame 1-forms e^a in terms of which, the spacetime metric is decomposed as $\boldsymbol{g} = \eta_{ab}\, e^a \otimes e^b$ with $\eta_{ab} = diag(- + + + \cdots)$. The free parameters α, β, η, β_1, β_2 and ε are the corresponding coupling constants. The star $*$ denotes the Hodge dual map and Λ is the cosmological constant. The quantities λ_a and μ are the Lagrange multiplier forms that are used to impose the torsion-free and anomaly-freedom constraints in the variational procedure [27].

The torsion-free, Levi-Civita connection 1-forms $\omega^a{}_b$ obey the first Cartan structure equations

$$de^a + \omega^a{}_b \wedge e^b = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

where $\omega_{a\,b} = -\omega_{b\,a}$, while the corresponding curvature 2-forms follow from the second Cartan structure equations

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c \wedge \omega^{cb}\ . \tag{2.4}$$

The second order Euler-Poincaré form [28, 29] is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{EP} = R^{ab} \wedge R^{cd} \wedge *(e_a \wedge e_b \wedge e_c \wedge e_d)\ , \tag{2.5}$$

which can also be written in the alternative form

$$\mathcal{L}_{EP} = 2R_{ab} \wedge *R^{ab} - 4P_a \wedge *P^a + \mathcal{R}^2_{(d)} *1\ , \tag{2.6}$$

where we have used the Ricci 1-form $P^a = \iota_b R^{ba}$ and the curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}_{(d)} = \iota_a\, \iota_b\, R^{ba}$. Here $\iota_a = \iota_{X_a}$ is the interior product operator such that $\iota_{X_a}(e^b) = \delta^a_b$.

2.1 Field equations

The effective field equations are obtained from independent variations of the total action density in (2.2) with respect to the variables e^a, ${\omega^a}_b$, ϕ and H. The variations with respect to co-frame fields e^a yield the Einstein equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} \wedge *(e_a \wedge e_b \wedge e_c) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \tau_c[\phi] + \frac{\beta}{2} e^{-\beta_2 \phi} \tau_c[H] - \Lambda e^{-\beta_1 \phi} * e_c \\ -\frac{\eta}{4} R^{ab} \wedge R^{fg} \wedge *(e_a \wedge e_b \wedge e_f \wedge e_g \wedge e_c) - D\lambda_c \ , \qquad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_c[\phi] = \iota_c d\phi \wedge *d\phi + d\phi \wedge \iota_c(*d\phi) \qquad (2.8)$$

and

$$\tau_c[H] = \iota_c H \wedge *H + H \wedge \iota_c(*H) \qquad (2.9)$$

are the stress-energy $(d-1)$-forms for the dilaton and axion fields, respectively. Here and in what follows D denotes the exterior covariant derivative operator. From variations with respect to ϕ, we obtain the dilaton field equation

$$\alpha d(*d\phi) = \frac{\beta_2 \beta}{2} e^{-\beta_2 \phi} H \wedge *H + \Lambda \beta_1 e^{-\beta_1 \phi} * 1 \ . \qquad (2.10)$$

From the connection ${\omega^a}_b$ variations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(e^a \wedge \lambda^b - e^b \wedge \lambda^a) &= \frac{1}{2} D\left(*(e^a \wedge e^b)\right) - \varepsilon D(R^{ab} \wedge \mu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \eta D\left(R^{cd} \wedge *(e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e_c \wedge e_d)\right) . \qquad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the variations with respect to H give the equation

$$d\mu = -\beta e^{-\beta_2 \phi} * H. \qquad (2.12)$$

By taking the exterior derivative of both sides of this equation we obtain the axion field equation

$$d(e^{-\beta_2 \phi} * H) = 0 \ , \qquad (2.13)$$

since $d^2 \mu = 0$. We also have the equation

$$dH = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} R_{ab} \wedge R^{ab} \ . \qquad (2.14)$$

Using the identity

$$DR^{ab} = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

one can solve equation (2.11) uniquely for the Lagrange multipliers λ^a; we have

$$\lambda^a \;=\; 2\,\varepsilon\beta\, e^{-\beta_2\phi}\iota_b(R^{ba}\wedge *H) - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{2}\, e^{-\beta_2\phi}\, e^a \wedge \iota_s\iota_l(R^{l\,s}\wedge *H)\ . \tag{2.16}$$

Next, substituting this expression into the Einstein field equations in (2.7) we put them in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\, R^{ab}\wedge *(e_a\wedge e_b\wedge e_c) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\,\tau_c[\phi] + \frac{\beta}{2}\, e^{-\beta_2\phi}\tau_c[H] - \Lambda\, e^{-\beta_1\phi} * e_c \\
-\frac{\eta}{4}\, R^{ab}\wedge R^{fg}\wedge *(e_a\wedge e_b\wedge e_f\wedge e_g\wedge e_c) \\
-2\varepsilon\beta D(e^{-\beta_2\phi}\iota_b(R^b{}_c\wedge *H)) - \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{2}\, e_c\wedge D(e^{-\beta_2\phi}\iota_s\iota_l(R^{l\,s}\wedge *H))\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where the last two terms can be thought of as non-trivial improvement terms that appear due to the torsion-free and anomaly-freedom constraints.

3 Metric ansatz

In this section we restrict ourselves to five-dimensional spacetimes and seek to solve the effective field equations obtained above. It is useful to start with a metric ansatz for a spacetime possessing homogeneity and isotropy in its three-dimensional subspace. The most general metric for this spacetime can be taken of the form

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -f^2(t,w)\, dt^2 + u^2(t,w)\, dw^2 + g^2(t,w)\ \frac{dx^2+dy^2+dz^2}{\left(1+\frac{\kappa r^2}{4}\right)^2}\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where f, u and g are arbitrary functions of the time coordinate t and the coordinate w of the fifth dimension. The curvature index $\kappa = -1,\, 0\,, +1$ corresponds to a hyperbolic, planar and spherical geometry of the three-dimensional subspace, respectively and $r^2 = x^2+y^2+z^2$. We assume the same functional dependence for the dilaton field

$$\phi = \phi\,(t,w)\ , \tag{3.2}$$

and for the axion 3-form

$$H = h(t,w)\,\frac{dx\wedge dy\wedge dz}{\left(1+\frac{\kappa\, r^2}{4}\right)^3} \quad . \tag{3.3}$$

The co-frame 1-forms for the metric (3.1) can be chosen as

$$e^0 = f(t,w)\,dt\,, \quad e^5 = u(t,w)\,dw\,, \quad e^i = g(t,w)\frac{dx^i}{\left(1+\frac{\kappa\, r^2}{4}\right)}\,, \quad x^i = x, y, z. \tag{3.4}$$

Evaluating with these co-frame 1-forms the Levi-Civita connection, we obtain

$$\omega^0{}_i \;=\; \frac{g_{,\,t}}{fg}\,e^i\ , \qquad \omega^i{}_j = \frac{\kappa}{2g}\left(x^i e^j - x^j e^i\right)\ , \tag{3.5}$$

$$\omega^0{}_5 \;=\; \frac{u_{,\,t}}{fu}\ e^5 + \frac{f_{,\,w}}{fu}\ e^0\ , \qquad \omega^i{}_5 = \frac{g_{,\,w}}{ug}\ e^i\ , \tag{3.6}$$

where commas denote partial derivatives with respect to an appropriate index. For the corresponding curvature 2-forms we find the expressions

$$R^{05} \;=\; B\,e^0\wedge e^5\ , \qquad R^{ij} = A\,e^i\wedge e^j\ , \tag{3.7}$$

$$R^{0i} \;=\; C\,e^0\wedge e^i + D\,e^5\wedge e^i\ , \tag{3.8}$$

$$R^{i5} \;=\; D\,e^0\wedge e^i + E\,e^5\wedge e^i\ , \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{g^2}\left[\kappa + \left(\frac{g_{,\,t}}{f}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g_{,\,w}}{u}\right)^2\right]\ , \tag{3.10}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{fu}\left[\left(\frac{u_{,\,t}}{f}\right)_{,\,t} - \left(\frac{f_{,\,w}}{u}\right)_{,\,w}\right]\ , \tag{3.11}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{fg}\left[\left(\frac{g_{,\,t}}{f}\right)_{,\,t} - \frac{f_{,\,w}\,g_{,\,w}}{u^2}\right]\ , \tag{3.12}$$

$$D = \frac{1}{ug}\left[\left(\frac{g_{,\,t}}{f}\right)_{,\,w} - \frac{u_{,\,t}\,g_{,\,w}}{fu}\right]\ , \tag{3.13}$$

$$E = \frac{1}{ug}\left[\left(\frac{g_{,\,w}}{u}\right)_{,\,w} - \frac{g_{,\,t}\,u_{,\,t}}{f^2}\right]\ . \tag{3.14}$$

Using the above equations, it is straightforward to show that $R_{ab} \wedge R^{ab} = 0$. Then with ansatz (3.3), equation (2.14) yields

$$H = \frac{Q}{g^3}\, e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 \ , \tag{3.15}$$

where the parameter Q can be thought of as a charge associated with the axion 3-form field. Taking all this into account in equations (2.10) and (2.17), we obtain the following system of coupled partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} A + B + 2\,C - 2\,E = -2\eta\, \left(A\,B + 2\,D^2 - 2\,C\,E\right) \\ -\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right)^2\right] + \frac{\beta}{2}\,\frac{Q^2}{g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi} - \Lambda\, e^{-\beta_1\phi} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3A + 3\,C(1 + 2\,\eta A) &= -\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right)^2\right] \\ &\quad -\frac{\beta}{2}\,\frac{Q^2}{g^6}\ e^{-\beta_2\phi} - \Lambda\, e^{-\beta_1\phi} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3A - 3\,E(1 + 2\,\eta A) &= \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right)^2\right] \\ &\quad -\frac{\beta}{2}\,\frac{Q^2}{g^6}\ e^{-\beta_2\phi} - \Lambda\, e^{-\beta_1\phi} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\alpha\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,w}\,g^3 f}{u}\right)_{,w} - \left(\frac{\phi_{,t}\,g^3 u}{f}\right)_{,t}\right]\frac{1}{g^3 f u} = \frac{\beta_2\beta}{2}\,\frac{Q^2}{g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi} + \Lambda\beta_1\, e^{-\beta_1\phi} \ , \tag{3.19}$$

$$D(1 + 2\,\eta A) = -\frac{\alpha}{3}\,\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\,\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u} \ . \tag{3.20}$$

Next, we discuss the validity of Birkhoff's staticity theorem in our model without invoking explicit solutions of this system of equations.

3.1 Birkhoff's theorem

Following the similar procedure used in [22], we combine equations (3.17) and (3.18) to eliminate the cosmological constant and axion charge. This yields

$$(C+E)(1+2\,\eta A) = -\frac{\alpha}{3}\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right)^2\right] , \tag{3.21}$$

which after combining with equation (3.20) gives

$$(C+E\mp 2\,D)(1+2\,\eta A) = -\frac{\alpha}{3}\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right)^2 \mp 2\frac{\phi_{,t}}{f}\frac{\phi_{,w}}{u}\right] . \tag{3.22}$$

With a constant dilaton field these equations serve as the integrability conditions for the field equations (3.16)-(3.20). To facilitate further considerations we use the conformal properties of the spacetime metric in (t,w)-plane. This allows us, without loss of generality, to take $f=u$ and pass to the lightcone coordinates

$$n = \frac{t+w}{2}, \qquad s = \frac{t-w}{2} . \tag{3.23}$$

Rewriting now equation (3.22) in these coordinates, we arrive at the following equations

$$\left(g_{,ss} - 2\,\frac{f_{,s}}{f}\,g_{,s}\right)\left[g^2f^2 + 2\,\eta\left(\kappa f^2 + g_{,n}\,g_{,s}\right)\right]\frac{1}{f^2g^3} = -\frac{\alpha}{3}\left(\phi_{,s}\right)^2 , \tag{3.24}$$

$$\left(g_{,nn} - 2\,\frac{f_{,n}}{f}g_{,n}\right)\left[g^2f^2 + 2\,\eta\left(\kappa f^2 + g_{,n}\,g_{,s}\right)\right]\frac{1}{f^2g^3} = -\frac{\alpha}{3}\left(\phi_{,n}\right)^2 \tag{3.25}$$

while, the dilaton field equation in the lightcone coordinates takes the form

$$\alpha\left[\phi_{,ns} + \frac{3}{2}\left(\phi_{,n}\,\frac{g_{,s}}{g} + \phi_{,s}\,\frac{g_{,n}}{g}\right)\right]\frac{1}{f^2} = -\frac{\beta_2\beta}{2}\,\frac{Q^2}{g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi} - \Lambda\,\beta_1\,e^{-\beta_1\phi} . \tag{3.26}$$

Next, we consider the following cases:

(i) $\qquad \phi \neq constant\,,$

the dilaton couples to the other fields. In this case, it follows from equations (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26) that for $g_{,s}\neq 0$ and $g_{,n}\neq 0$ the solutions are in general not static. On the other hand, it may happen that either $g_{,n}=0$

or $g_{,s} = 0$ which, in turn, leads to either $\phi_{,n} = 0$ or $\phi_{,s} = 0$. In both cases equation (3.26) is satisfied only for $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$. However, the general solution is still time-dependent.

(ii) $\phi = constant\,,$

the dilaton decouples and may have a constant value. In this case equations (3.24) and (3.25) reduce to the form

$$\left(g_{,ss} - 2\,\frac{f_{,s}}{f}\,g_{,s}\right)\left[g^2 f^2 + 2\,\eta(\kappa f^2 + g_{,n}\,g_{,s})\right] = 0\ , \tag{3.27}$$

$$\left(g_{,nn} - 2\,\frac{f_{,n}}{f}\,g_{,n}\right)\left[g^2 f^2 + 2\,\eta(\kappa f^2 + g_{,n}\,g_{,s})\right] = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Having in these equations either $g_{,n} = 0$ or $g_{,s} = 0$, we get the relation $g^2 = -2\,\eta\kappa$. This results in two distinct kinds of solutions; the first ones are flat solutions, while the second kind of solutions are time-dependent and given by

$$\boldsymbol{g} = f^2(t,w)(-dt^2 + dw^2) + (-2\,\eta\kappa)\,\frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa r^2}{4}\right)^2}\ , \tag{3.29}$$

where $\kappa \neq 0$ and the coupling constants must satisfy the relations

$$\frac{\beta Q^2}{8\eta^2} = -\kappa\ , \qquad \Lambda = \frac{1}{\eta}. \tag{3.30}$$

For $g_{,n} \neq 0$ and $g_{,s} \neq 0$, it follows from equations (3.27) and (3.28) that either

$$g_{ss} - 2\,\frac{f_{,s}}{f}\,g_{,s} \;=\; 0\ , \qquad g_{,nn} - 2\frac{f_{,n}}{f}\,g_{,n} = 0 \tag{3.31}$$

or

$$g^2 f^2 + 2\,\eta(\kappa f^2 + g_{,n}\,g_{,s}) = 0 \tag{3.32}$$

Let us first start with equations in (3.31). They can be easily integrated to yield the solution

$$f^2 = N' g_{,s} = S' g_{,n}\ , \tag{3.33}$$

where $N = N(n)$ and $S = S(s)$ are arbitrary functions, the *prime* stands for the total derivative with respect to the argument and $g = g(N + S)$. It is clear that one can make a new conformal transformation

$$N = \frac{\tilde{t} + \tilde{w}}{2}, \qquad S = \frac{\tilde{t} - \tilde{w}}{2} \tag{3.34}$$

that puts the solution in the form $g = g(\tilde{w})$ and thereby exhibiting its locally static character. Clearly, this proves the Birkhoff staticity theorem.

Turning now to equation (3.32), which is equivalent to the equation $1 + 2\,\eta A = 0$, and comparing the latter with equation (3.17) or (3.18) we find that g must be constant. This contradicts our original assumption that $g_{,n} \neq 0$ and $g_{,s} \neq 0$. Thus, no fine-tuning condition exists in this case. This allows us to deduce that the Birkhoff staticity theorem is valid in the axi-dilaton gravity model which in addition to the cosmological constant and Euler-Poincaré term also includes a constant dilaton and an arbitrary axion charge.

(iii) $\phi = constant\,, \qquad H = 0\,,$

i.e. the axion charge vanishes. Using equations given in case (ii), it is easy to check that when either $g_{,n} = 0$ or $g_{,s} = 0$, we have only flat solutions. For $g_{,n} \neq 0$ and $g_{,s} \neq 0$ we obtain either static or time-dependent solutions. Indeed, as in the previous case discussed above, equations in (3.31) admit a locally static solution. That is, Birkhoff's theorem is true. Meanwhile, equation (3.32) gives

$$f^2 = \frac{2\,\eta\,[(g_{,w})^2 - (g_{,t})^2]}{2\kappa\,\eta + g^2}\,, \tag{3.35}$$

where the Euler-Poincaré coupling parameter η is related to the cosmological constant by the fine-tuning condition

$$\Lambda = \frac{3}{2\,\eta}\,. \tag{3.36}$$

This can be easily verified making use of (3.17) or (3.18). Finally, the general solution is given by

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \frac{2\,\eta\,[(g_{,w})^2 - (g_{,t})^2\,]}{2\kappa\,\eta + g^2}(-dt^2 + dw^2) + g^2(t,w)\,\frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa\,r^2}{4}\right)^2}\,. \tag{3.37}$$

We see that unlike the case (ii), the nonvanishing cosmological constant obeying the condition (3.36) violates Birkhoff's theorem. The presence of a constant dilaton does not change the situation. That is, the Birkhoff theorem is valid *if and only if* the fine-tuning condition (3.36) is not satisfied.

4 Static solutions

In the previous section we have proved the Birkhoff's theorem without constructing explicit solutions. Namely, we have started from a general time-dependent metric ansatz (3.1), for which the field equations have driven us to a locally static solution. In this section we give some explicit examples of static solutions to our model. It is straightforward to show that in the static case the field equations (3.16)-(3.20) reduce to the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{g^2}\left[\kappa-\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)^2\right]\left[1-\frac{2\,\eta}{uf}\left(\frac{f'}{u}\right)'\right]-2\,\frac{f'g'}{fgu^2}\left[1-\frac{2\,\eta}{ug}\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)'\right]= \\
&\qquad\frac{1}{u}\left[\frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{f'}{u}\right)'+\frac{2}{g}\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)'\right]+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\phi'}{u}\right)^2+\frac{\beta Q^2}{2\,g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi}-\Lambda\,e^{-\beta_1\phi}, \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{3}{g^2}\left[\kappa-\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)^2\right]\left(1-\frac{2\,\eta}{fg}\,\frac{f'g'}{u^2}\right)-3\frac{f'g'}{u^2fg}= \\
&\qquad-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\phi'}{u}\right)^2-\Lambda e^{-\beta_1\phi}-\frac{\beta Q^2}{2g^6}e^{-\beta_2\phi}\ , \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{3}{g^2}\left[\kappa-\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)^2\right]\left[1-\frac{2\,\eta}{ug}\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)'\right]-3\left(\frac{g'}{u}\right)'\frac{1}{ug}= \\
&\qquad\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\phi'}{u}\right)^2-\Lambda\,e^{-\beta_1\phi}-\frac{\beta\,Q^2}{2\,g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi}\ , \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha\left(\frac{\phi' fg^3}{u}\right)'\frac{1}{fg^3u}=\frac{\beta_2\beta\,Q^2}{2\,g^6}\,e^{-\beta_2\phi}+\Lambda\beta_1 e^{-\beta_1\phi}\ . \qquad (4.4)$$

Here *primes* denote the total derivatives with respect to the spacelike coordinate w. We consider below some special cases;

Case I: $\quad H=0$,

a model with no axion charge. In this case we obtain the static solution with planar symmetry $(\kappa=0)$

$$\boldsymbol{g}=-w^2dt^2+\frac{1}{w^2}\,dw^2+\frac{1}{w^{\frac{2}{3}}}\,(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2)\ , \qquad (4.5)$$

$$\phi(w) = \phi_0 \ln |w| \ , \tag{4.6}$$

provided that the coupling constant $\beta_1 = 0$, the Euler-Poincaré coupling constant η, the cosmological constant Λ and ϕ_0 satisfy the relations

$$\Lambda \ = \ \frac{2\,\eta}{27} \ , \qquad \alpha\phi_0^2 = \frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{2\eta}{9} - 1\right) \ . \tag{4.7}$$

We see that the metric components in (4.5) diverge at $w = 0$. However, it can be easily verified that this is a coordinate singularity. For this purpose, we evaluate the curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}$ and the curvature invariant $R_{ab} \wedge *R^{ab}$ for this metric and show that they are given by the finite values

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{4}{3} \ , \qquad R_{ab} \wedge *R^{ab} = \frac{228}{81} * 1, \tag{4.8}$$

that confirms the statement made above.

Case II: $\quad \phi = \mathit{constant}, \qquad H \neq 0$,

a model with a constant dilaton and non-zero axion charge. This model admits two types of exact solutions:

(i) The first type of solutions is given by the metric

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -f^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f^2}\, dw^2 + w^2\, \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa\, r^2}{4}\right)^2} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$f^2 = \kappa + \frac{w^2}{2\eta}\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1 + 2\eta\left(\frac{2M}{w^4} + \frac{\beta Q^2}{3\, w^6} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)}\right) \tag{4.10}$$

and the parameter M is related to the gravitational mass of the source. We recognize the well-known static charged black hole solution with a cosmological constant to Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity [18, 23] in which the electric charge is superseded by an axion charge.

(ii) The second type of solutions is given the metric

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -f^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f^2}\, dw^2 + g_0^2\, \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa\, r^2}{4}\right)^2} \ , \tag{4.11}$$

where g_0 is a constant and

$$f^2 = b\, w^2 + c_0\, w + c_1 \ . \tag{4.12}$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$b = \left(1 + \frac{2\kappa\eta}{g_0^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\Lambda + \frac{\kappa}{g_0^2} - \frac{\beta Q^2}{2g_0^6}\right) \ . \tag{4.13}$$

Furthermore, the constants g_0, Q and Λ obey the relation

$$\frac{3\kappa}{g_0^2} = -\frac{\beta Q^2}{2g_0^6} - \Lambda \tag{4.14}$$

and c_0 and c_1 are arbitrary integration constants. The singularity structure of this metric can be understood by studying its Killing horizons [31, 32]. It is easy to see that the metric (4.11) has coordinate singularities at the points

$$w_{\mp} = \frac{-c_0 \mp \sqrt{c_0^2 - 4bc_1}}{2b} \tag{4.15}$$

which are governed by the Killing horizon equation $f^2 = 0$. However, evaluating the curvature scalar and curvature invariant for this metric, we find the constant values

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{6\kappa}{g_0^2} - 2b \ , \qquad R_{ab} \wedge *R^{ab} = \left(\frac{6\kappa^2}{g_0^4} + 2b^2\right) * 1 \, . \tag{4.16}$$

It follows that the singularities at the points (4.15) are indeed the coordinate singularities.

Case III: $\quad \phi \neq constant, \quad H \neq 0 \, , \quad \kappa = 0 \, , \quad \eta = 0 \, ,$

a planar model with dilaton and axion fields. This model admits a class of solutions with

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -f^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f^2}\, dw^2 + w^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right) \ , \tag{4.17}$$

$$\phi(w) = \phi_0 \ln|w| \ , \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$f^2 = \gamma_0 \, w^{-\beta_2 \, \phi_0} + \gamma_2 \, w^{2-\phi_0\beta_1} + \frac{c_2}{\phi_0 \, \alpha} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\gamma_0 = -\frac{3\beta Q^2}{4} \ , \qquad \gamma_2 = \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda}{\left(2\phi_0\alpha - \frac{2}{3}\beta_1\right)} \ , \tag{4.20}$$

c_2 is an integration constant and ϕ_0, β_1 and β_2 must satisfy the relations

$$\phi_0^2 = \frac{2}{3\alpha} \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\phi_0 \left(\phi_0 \beta_1^2 - \frac{10}{3}\beta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0 \beta_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}\beta_2 \right) + \frac{8}{3} = 0 \ . \tag{4.22}$$

It should be noted that at least one of the constants β_1 and β_2 is assumed to be non-zero.[1] The curvature scalar and curvature invariant for this class of solutions are given by the expressions

$$\mathcal{R} = \gamma_0 \left[\frac{2}{3} + \beta_2 \phi_0 (1 - \beta_2 \phi_0) \right] w^{-\beta_2 \phi_0 - 2} + \gamma_2 \left[\frac{2}{3} - (2 - \phi_0 \beta_1)(3 - \phi_0 \beta_1) \right] w^{-\phi_0 \beta_1} \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$R_{ab} \wedge *R^{ab} = \left(B_1 w^{-2\beta_2 \phi_0 - 4} + B_2 w^{-\beta_2 \phi_0 - 2 - \phi_0 \beta_1} + B_3 w^{-2\phi_0 \beta_1} \right) * 1 \ , \tag{4.24}$$

where B_1, B_2 and B_3 are the constants determined by the model parameters β_1, β_2, ϕ_0, γ_0 and γ_2 . We see that for certain values of the parameters there are curvature singularities at $w \rightarrow 0$, whereas for the parameters obeying the constraints $\beta_2 \phi_0 + 2 \leq 0$ and $\phi_0 \beta_1 \leq 0$ we have a regular solution at this limit. Thus, for this class of static solutions the singularity structure is sensitive to the value of the model parameters and under certain constraints on their values, there exist asymptotically non-flat solutions with regular behaviour at $w \rightarrow 0$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have studied the exact solutions to the effective field equations of an axi-dilaton gravity model which, in addition to the usual Einstein-Hilbert term with a cosmological constant, also includes a second order Euler-Poincaré term. We have concentrated on five-dimensional spacetimes admitting maximally symmetric spatial three-sections and given a number of interesting exact solutions. These solutions basically form two classes. The first class consists of time-dependent solutions which are valid under certain fine-tuning relations between the coupling constants of the model and the cosmological constant. Solutions in the second class are locally static that proves validity of Birkhoff staticity theorem in the presence of a constant dilaton and an arbitrary axion charge. We have also given a special class of static solutions, among them a spherically symmetric black hole solution carrying an axion charge.

---

[1] One can start with ansatz $g(w) = w^s$ and obtain a more general set of constraint equations where s varies in the interval $0 < s < 1$.

References

[1] Horava P and Witten E 1996 *Nucl. Phys.* B **460** 506

[2] Witten E 1996 *Nucl. Phys.* B **471** 135

[3] Lukas A, Ovrut B A, Stelle K S and Waldram D 1999 *Phys. Rev.* D **59** 086001

[4] Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1998 *Phys. Lett.* B **429** 263

Antoniadis I, Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1998 *Phys. Lett.* B **436** 257

[5] Randall L and Sundrum R 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 3370

Randall L and Sundrum R 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4690

[6] Giddings S B and Thomas S 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D **65** 056010

[7] Shiromizu T, Maeda K and Sasaki M 2000 *Phys. Rev.* D **62** 024012

[8] Aliev A N and Gümrükçüoğlu A E 2004 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** 5081

[9] Dadhich N, Maartens R, Papadopoulos P and Rezania V 2000 *Phys. Lett.* B **487** 1

Chamblin A, Reall H S, Shinkai H A and Shiromizu T 2001 *Phys. Rev.* D **63** 064015

[10] Aliev A N and Gümrükçüoğlu A E 2005 *Phys. Rev.* D **71** 104027

da Rocha R and Coimbra-Araujo C H 2006 *Phys. Rev.* D **74** 055006

[11] Binetruy P, Deffayet C, Ellwanger U and Langlois D 2000 *Phys. Lett.* B **477** 285

[12] Kraus P 1999 *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** 011

[13] Ida D 2000 *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** 014

[14] Bowcock P, Charmousis C and Gregory R 2000 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** 4745

[15] Zwiebach B 1985 *Phys. Lett.* B **156** 315
Zumino B 1986 *Phys. Rep.* **137** 109

[16] Boulware D G and Deser S 1985 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** 2656

[17] Nojiri S and Odintsov S D 2001 *Phys. Lett.* B **521** 87 *Erratum-ibid.* 2002 B **542** 301

[18] Cai R G 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D **65** 084014

[19] Dotti G, Oliva J and Troncoso R 2007 *Phys. Rev.* D **75** 024002

[20] Gravanis E and Willison 2007 *Preprint* gr-qc/0701152

[21] Germani C and Sopuerta C F 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 231101

[22] Charmousis C and Dufaux J 2002 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** 4671

[23] Lidsey J E, Nojiri S and Odintsov S D 2002 *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** 026

[24] Maartens R 2004 *Living Rev. Rel.* **7** 7

[25] Maeda K and Torii T 2004 *Phys. Rev.* D **69** 024002

[26] Aliev A N, Cebeci H and Dereli T 2006 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** 591

[27] Dereli T and Tucker R W 1987 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **4** 791

[28] Arık M and Dereli T 1987 *Phys. Lett.* B **189** 96

[29] Arık M and Dereli T 1989 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** 5

[30] Lovelock D 1971 *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 498

[31] Klosch T and Strobl T 1996 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** 2395

[32] Grumiller D, Kummer W and Vassilevich D 2002 *Phys. Rept.* **369** 327